# FSEHD Professional Impact Project for Advanced Programs (PIP)

March 2010

## **Overview of the FSEHD Professional Impact Project for Advanced Programs (PIP)**

# The Vision

Successful graduate program candidates create a relevant Professional Impact Project for Advanced Programs that includes the following Practice aspects of the Advanced Competencies: Evidence-Based Decision Making; Technology Use; Diversity; and Professional Identity Development. Through this Professional Impact Project process, graduate candidates provide credible evidence of their ability to facilitate impact on constituent(s) and reflect upon their practice:

# **Evidence-Based Decision Making**

- Candidate defines area targeted for growth clearly
  - Define area targeted for growth clearly;
  - Use data to inform decision making;
  - Address target population (constituent dynamics, with emphasis of knowledge of diversity of self and others).
- Candidate develops a plan of action:
  - Incorporate considerations of other professionals and/or stakeholders while determining plan of action;
  - Address target population (constituent dynamics, with emphasis of knowledge of diversity of self and others);
  - Aim to contribute to school improvement and/or renewal; or Promotes well-being of children, family systems, school systems, or communities;
  - Use knowledge of self and others to design effective practice.
- Candidate implements action plan
  - Implement action plan
  - Collect and analyzes data;
  - Present information
- Candidate evaluates impact of action
  - Analyze impact of action
  - Assess degree to which action contributes to school improvement and/or renewal or promotes well-being of children, family systems, school systems, or communities;
- Candidate reflects on emerging professionalism
  - Examines own emerging, developing or acquired professional knowledge, skills, and dispositions that result in competent practice;
  - Creates plan to further professional growth.

Evidence-Based Decision Making is the primary focal point of this project for Advanced Candidates. The other aspects of the Practice Competencies, namely Technology Use, Diversity of Practice, Professional Identity Development, are infused in this project. Thus, it assumed that candidates will ably use technology to present/collaborate/inform/research their project, readily incorporate understanding of diversity in practice, and examine their own professional knowledge, skills, communication, and dispositions that result in effective/competent practice as well.

#### Note:

*Constituent(s)* is a term used to refer to the target(s) of this project and could include any possible individuals or groups that are relevant to Advanced Programs such as: students, families, community members, clients, teachers, and/or administrators. Throughout, the term *action* is used generically to represent the varied program efforts to instruct, develop, counsel, and/or lead, etc. that reflect constituent(s) who are students, clients, teachers or administrators, respectively. The constituent might be one individual or a group of individuals.

#### Assignment

You are required to create a Professional Impact Project for your constituent(s) (e.g. teaching unit, academic or other skill-based action) that contributes to school improvement and/or renewal, or promotes the well-being of individuals, children, family systems, school systems, or communities.

Once an area targeted for growth has been identified or chosen, you will review the evidence/literature regarding successful actions for addressing the area. This will lead to implementing an action plan that you hypothesize will impact your constituent or constituents. Prior to and throughout the action, you will collect data, analyzing the impact on your constituent(s), and then reflect in terms of your own professional strengths/needs in order to effect positively change.

This Professional Impact Project process is intended to provide credible evidence of your ability to facilitate impact on constituent(s) and reflect upon your practice. You are required to make evidence-based decisions and use ongoing data analyses to determine the overall effectiveness of your practice on constituent(s). Evidence-based decisions include: sound professional practice, adjustments based on analyses of impact on constituent(s), and congruence between modifications and impact goals.

#### <u>Phase I</u>

#### Identify and Define Area Targeted for Growth

The candidate reviews relevant literature, contextual factors, and views of constituent(s) to define an area to investigate.

# Questions to Consider

# 1) What has caused the candidate to focus on this particular area targeted for growth?

# 2) What professional literature informs the area targeted for growth?

- a. Review program specific literature that relates to a Professional Impact Project topical issue
- b. Review other content area literature relevant to the constituent

# 3) What data sources support that this is an area targeted for growth in the particular context in the proposed setting?

- a. Review general community, school/organization, and constituent characteristics
- b. Review specific characteristics and approaches to working with constituent(s)

# 4) Does the candidate consider input from constituent(s) when defining the area targeted for growth?

- 5) Based on the professional literature, the proposed setting, and constituent input, what do you hope to learn as a result of doing this Professional Impact Project?
  - a. Effectively apply and integrate the professional literature, contextual data, and constituent input
  - **b.** Articulate clearly and succinctly an area of proposed study

Student name:	Student ID:	Program:	Course:	
Semester Completed:	Evaluator's Name	2:		
PHASE I: The candidate reviews relevant literature, contextual factors, and views of constituent(s) to define an area targeted for growth				
PHASE I	Unacceptable	Acceptable	Target	
DEFINE AREA TARGETED FOR GROWTH				
What has caused the candidate to focus on this particular area targeted for growth? Domain-Specific Knowledge	Although an area targeted for growth is identified and described, the candidate's statement is <b>too broad</b> or the description <b>fails to establish</b> the importance of the targeted area	The candidate identifies and describes relevant area targeted for growth <b>with reasonable</b> <b>explanation of its importance</b>	The candidate identifies and describes relevant area targeted for growth <b>with</b> <b>significant detail as to importance</b> , including the scope and impact of the area targeted for growth	
What professional literature informs the area targeted for growth? Information Literacy	The candidate selects literature from <b>unreliable</b> _sources or the literature <b>does</b> <b>not support</b> the stated aim of the impact project.	The candidate's literature review reflects <b>relevant</b> , <b>reliable</b> , <b>appropriate</b> professional literature. It identifies <b>several</b> ideas, variables or constructs related to the area targeted for growth, supporting the aim of the impact project.	The candidate's literature review integrates <b>critical and logical</b> details from appropriate professional literature. It identifies <b>important</b> ideas, variables, or constructs related to the area targeted for growth, supporting the aim of the impact project. Attention is given to different perspectives, conditions, and threats to validity.	
What data sources support that this is an area targeted for growth in the proposed setting? Contextual Perspective	The candidate's description of data sources is <b>limited or incomplete; lacks</b> <b>relevance</b> to the aim of the impact project, or <b>fails to consider</b> key community, school, or constituent factors.	The candidate identifies data sources that account for <b>some</b> , general community, school, and constituent factors and identifies <b>at least 1</b> viable approach for working with constituents.	The candidate identifies data sources that account for <b>multiple</b> community, school, and constituent factors, both general and specific; considers viable approach <u>es</u> for working with constituents, including constituent skills and prior understandings	
Does the candidate consider input from constituent(s) when defining the area targeted for growth? Contextual Perspective	The candidate <b>does not provide an</b> <b>effective argument</b> for the likelihood of the project's benefit to the constituent(s), or <b>has failed to consider</b> possible negative effects to the constituent(s). <b>The</b> /condition <b>has not provided</b> <b>reasonable opportunities</b> for the	The candidate provides a <b>reasonable argument</b> for the likelihood that the impact project will benefit the constituent(s) with no to minimal negative impact. The candidate provides <b>reasonable</b> <b>eividence</b> of <b>classigitue</b> the project. opportunities to contribute to the	The candidate provides a <b>compelling</b> argument for the likelihood that the impact project will benefit the constituent(s), with no to minimal negative impact. The candidate provides <b>substantial</b> evidence that the constituent(s) have either designibuted or had multiple opportunities to contribute to the project's aims and/or	

constituent(s) to contribute to the aims

PHASE I	Unacceptable	Acceptable	Target
DEFINE AREA TARGETED FOR GROWTH			
Based on the professional literature, the proposed setting, and constituent input, what do you hope to learn as a result of doing this Professional Impact	The candidate is <b>unable to</b> <b>connect</b> professional literature, contextual data, and constituent input to the intended area of study.	The candidate applies and integrates a <b>limited amount</b> of professional literature, contextual data, and constituent input to the intended area of study.	The candidate e <b>ffectively applies and</b> <b>integrates</b> professional literature, contextual data, and constituent input to the intended area of study.
this Professional Impact Project? Information Literacy	Proposed area of study is <b>inappropriate</b> given the professional literature, contextual data, and constituent input.	Proposed area of study is <b>supported</b> by professional literature, contextual data, and constituent input.	Proposed area of study is <b>clearly and</b> <b>succinctly stated and linked</b> to the professional literature, contextual data, and constituent input.

#### <u>Phase II</u>

# Develop a Plan of Action

The candidate uses information from the contextual factors, literature, and data that impact constituent(s) to set goals, design an action plan, and assess impact. The candidate sets significant, challenging, varied and appropriate goals designed to positively affect constituent(s) in some way. The candidate considers multiple assessment modes and approaches aligned with relevant goals to assess impact on constituent(s) before, during and after implementing the action plan.

#### Questions to Consider

## 1) What is the action plan?

- a. Describe the parameters of the action plan, including scope, duration, and intended outcomes
- b. Make a valid case for the significance of the chosen action plan

# 2) What factors were used to select or design the action plan?

a. Identify salient factors that contributed to selection or design of the particular action plan

# 3) Does the action plan demonstrate understanding of the area targeted for growth?

- a. Generate goals (for and/or with constituent(s)) that are clear, significant, and appropriate
- b. Align goals with best practices, and professional standards as appropriate
- c. Align goals with identified parameters of the action plan

# 4) Does the action plan include valid and reliable measures for assessing the impact of the action plan?

- a. Provide clarity on criteria for performance
- b. Address technical soundness of assessment methods.
- c. Use multiple modes and approaches to assess the impact of the implemented action plan

# 5) Does the proposed action plan demonstrate consideration of constituent(s)' needs/viewpoints?

- a. Design/include adaptations and modifications based on individual constituent(s)' needs and constraints
- b. Provide for input from constituent before, during, and after implementation

PHASE II: The candidate uses information from the contextual factors, literature, and data that impact constituent(s) to set goals, design an action plan, and assess impact.

PHASE II	Unacceptable	Acceptable	Target
PLAN OF ACTION			
What is the action plan? Evidence-Based Decision-Making	The candidate's description of planned action is <b>unclear, lacking sufficient detail, or is missing</b> key components such as scope, duration, or intended outcomes	The candidate's description of planned action is <b>clear</b> and includes scope, duration, and intended outcomes	The candidate's description of planned action is <b>very clearly stated</b> , <b>detailed</b> and includes well-targeted scope, duration, and intended outcomes
	The candidate's rationale is <b>unclear</b> or does not indicate potential to have significant impact on constituent(s)	The candidate's rationale for action is <b>clear</b> and supports the potential for significant impact on constituent(s)	The candidate's rationale for the action's potential to significantly impact constituent(s) is <b>strong and clearly stated</b> .
What factors were used to select or design the action? Evidence-Based Decision-Making	The candidate's selection/design of planned action fails to account for key contextual factors or possible limitations or constraints	The candidate's selection/design of planned action reflects <b>more than one</b> key contextual factor and acknowledges any significant limitations or constraints	The candidate's selection/design of planned action reflects <b>multiple</b> , <b>highly relevant</b> contextual factors and acknowledges possible limitations and constraints
Does the action plan demonstrate understanding of the area targeted for growth? Evidence-Based Decision-Making	One or more of the candidate's <b>goals are unclear</b> , of marginal significance, or inappropriate for constituent(s) One or more of the candidate's goals <b>are not</b> aligned with best practice or professional standards, as appropriate One or more of the candidate's goals <b>are not</b> aligned with the stated parameters of the action plan	The candidate's <b>key goals</b> are <b>clear</b> , <b>significant</b> , <b>and appropriate</b> for constituent(s) The candidate's <b>key goals</b> are <b>aligned</b> with best practice and professional standards, as appropriate The candidate's <b>key goals</b> are <b>aligned</b> with stated parameters of the action plan	All of the candidate's goals are clear, significant, and highly appropriate for constituent(s) All of the candidate's goals are clearly aligned with best practice and professional standards, as appropriate All of the candidate's goals are clearly aligned with stated parameters of the action plan

PHASE II	Unacceptable	Acceptable	Target
PLAN OF ACTION			
Does the action plan include valid and reliable	<b>Some</b> of the candidate's assessment criteria are not clear or are not linked to goals	The candidate's <b>key</b> assessment criteria are clear and linked to goals	<b>All</b> of the candidate's assessment criteria are clear and explicitly linked to goals
measures to assess the impact of the action?	The candidate's assessment measures <b>are not</b> valid and/or reliable	The candidate's assessment measures <b>appear</b> to be valid and reliable	The candidate's provides <b>strong</b> <b>evidence</b> of validity and reliability of assessment measures
Evidence-Based Decision-Making	The candidate's assessment relies <b>on one or more</b> limited measures that are <b>not consistent</b> with goals, contextual factors, and constituent needs and/or do not support intended action.	The candidate measures impact through <b>one</b> <b>to two</b> modes and approaches that are <b>consistent</b> with goals, contextual factors, and constituent needs and appropriate to the action	The candidate measures impact through <b>multiple</b> modes and approaches that are <b>consistent</b> with goals, contextual factors, and constituent needs and appropriate to the action
Does the proposed action demonstrate consideration of constituent(s)' needs/viewpoints?	The candidate's action plan <b>does not solicit</b> or support constituent(s)' views, needs or concerns	The candidate's action plan <b>reflects</b> <b>consideration</b> for constituent(s)' views, needs and concerns, as appropriate during <b>key</b> phases of the action.	The candidate's action plan encourages active engagement with constituent(s)' views, needs and concerns, as appropriate throughout the plan of action
Diversity of Practice	The candidate's action plan includes <b>few or no</b> adaptations or modifications designed to meet the needs of constituent(s)	The candidate's action plan <b>identifies</b> adaptations and/or modifications to meet the needs of <b>most</b> constituent(s)	The candidate's action plan <b>clearly</b> <b>identifies</b> adaptations and/or modifications to meet the identified needs of <b>all</b> constituent(s)

#### <u>Phase III</u>

**Implement Action Plan** 

The candidate implements an action plan aligned with specific goals and constituent characteristics and needs and with strong consideration of contextual factors.

Questions to Consider

# 1) What is the nature of the alignment/fidelity of actions with the action plan?

- a. Provide evidence that the action plan is implemented as intended
- b. Provide evidence of competent professional judgment regarding timing, order, or other key elements of the action plan

# 2) Is the action plan implemented with respect to constituent(s)' needs/viewpoints?

a. Show that the action plan implementation integrates constituent(s)' needs/viewpoints in an ongoing manner

# 3) Are adequate data collected and presented in a systematic way?

a. Provide evidence that data is collected according to plan indicated with no unaccounted for gaps in data

# 4) Is data analysis appropriate to address the questions asked or areas investigated?

a. Show that data analysis directly addresses the questions asked or areas investigated in a meaningful way

# 5) Is data analysis thorough and accurate?

a. Provide evidence that data analysis is comprehensive with no errors

PHASE III: The candidate implements an action plan aligned with specific goals and constituent characteristics and needs and with strong consideration of contextual factors.

PHASE III	Unacceptable	Acceptable	Target
IMPLEMENTATION			
What is the nature of the alignment/fidelity of actions with the action plan?	The candidate provides <b>little to no</b> <b>evidence</b> that the key elements of the action plan (procedures, methods, measures, timeframes) were implemented as outlined in the action	The candidate provides <b>detailed</b> <b>evidence</b> that the key elements of the action plan (procedures, methods, measures, timeframes) were implemented as intended.	The candidate provides <b>detailed</b> <b>evidence</b> that the key elements of the action plan (procedures, methods, measures, timeframes) were implemented as intended.
Evidence-Based Decision- Making	plan. The candidate <b>provides little to no</b> <b>evidence</b> that s/he applied competent professional judgment regarding key elements of the action plan.	The candidate provides <b>some evidence</b> that s/he applied competent professional judgment regarding key elements of the action plan.	The candidate provides <b>detailed</b> <b>evidence</b> that s/he applied competent professional judgment regarding key elements of the action plan.
Is the action plan implemented with respect to constituent(s)' needs/viewpoints? Evidence-Based Decision- Making or Diversity of Practice?	Candidate implementation of the action <b>does not integrate</b> constituent(s)' expressed needs/viewpoints in any systematic way	Candidate implementation of the action <b>integrates</b> constituent(s)' expressed needs/viewpoints throughout most of the implementation of the action plan	Candidate implementation of the action systematically integrates constituent(s)' expressed needs/viewpoints throughout implementation of the action plan
Are adequate data collected and presented in a systematic way? Evidence-Based Decision-	Candidate data collection is <b>not</b> <b>systematic</b> and/or missing data or modification of data collection plan are <b>not accounted</b> for.	Candidate <b>systematically collects</b> adequate data and <b>accounts</b> for any missing data or modification of data collection plan.	Candidate <b>systematically collects</b> comprehensive data and <b>thoroughly</b> <b>accounts</b> for any missing data or modification of data collection plan.
Making	Candidate <b>represents data</b> <b>inaccurately</b> or in a manner that is not understandable to the intended audience.	Candidate <b>accurately represents data</b> in a manner understandable to the intended audience.	Candidate <b>accurately provides</b> <b>multiple representations</b> of data in a manner understandable to the intended audience.

PHASE III	Unacceptable	Acceptable	Target
IMPLEMENTATION			
Is data analysis appropriate to address the questions asked or area targeted for growth investigated? Evidence-Based Decision- Making	The candidate's data analysis <b>fails to</b> <b>address</b> indicated questions or area targeted for growth	The candidate's data analysis <b>generally</b> <b>addresses</b> the questions or area targeted for growth investigated	The candidate's data analysis <b>directly</b> <b>addresses</b> the questions asked or area targeted for growth investigated in a meaningful way
Is data analysis thorough and accurate?	The candidate's data analysis is <b>limited</b> or flawed	The candidate's data analysis is <b>adequate</b> with <b>no major errors</b>	The candidate's data analysis is <b>comprehensive and accurate</b> .
Evidence-Based Decision- Making			

#### <u>Phase IV</u>

#### **Evaluate Impact**

The candidate uses assessment data to evaluate impact on constituent(s) regarding constituent progress and achievement. The candidate also evaluates the overall effectiveness of implementation on constituent(s) in order to improve his/her own practice long-term.

#### Questions to Consider

- 1) Do the candidate's actions contribute to improving the area targeted for growth in a significant way?
  - a. Interpret impact of actions (intended and unintended) on constituent(s) (e.g., student growth, achievement scores, client efficacy, teacher/administrator skill application)
- 2) What is the relationship between the findings and the research literature/professional best practice?

#### 3) What were unintended outcomes of the action plan?

a. Review consequences in light of goals, action plan steps, and outcomes for all constituent(s)

PHASE IV: The candidate uses assessment data to evaluate impact on constituent(s) regarding constituent progress and achievement. The candidate also evaluates the overall effectiveness of implementation on constituent(s) in order to improve his/her own practice long-term.

PHASE IV	Unacceptable	Acceptable	Target
EVALUATE IMPACT			
Do the candidate's actions contribute to improving the area targeted for growth in a significant way? Evidence-Based Decision-Making	Candidate <b>fails to include evidence</b> of intended and unintended impact(s) of the action plan on the area(s) targeted for growth in terms of degree to which constituent(s) achieved and made progress toward goal(s).	Candidate includes <b>some evidence</b> of intended and unintended impact(s) of the action plan on the area(s) targeted for growth in terms of degree to which constituent(s) achieved and made progress toward goal(s).	Candidate includes <b>clear evidence</b> of intended and unintended impact(s) of the action plan on the area(s) targeted for growth in terms of degree to which constituent(s) achieved and made progress toward goal(s).
What is the relationship between the findings and the research literature/ professional best practice?	Candidate includes <b>poor/limited</b> <b>discussion</b> of the relationship between his/her findings and the research literature or professional best practice; OR the research literature/professional best practice discussed is of <b>little</b>	The candidate refers to <b>a few relevant</b> <b>data-based studies or sources</b> of best practice knowledge in his/her discussion of the relationship between his/her findings and the research literature/ professional best practice.	The candidate refers to <b>many relevant</b> <b>data-based studies or sources</b> of best practice knowledge in his/her discussion of the relationship between his/her findings and the research literature/ professional best practice.
Information Literacy?	<b>relevance</b> to the candidate's findings.	The candidate provides a <b>satisfactory</b> <b>description</b> of the link between his/her findings and research/professional best practice.	The candidate provides a <b>detailed</b> <b>description</b> of the link between his/her findings and research/professional best practice.
What were the unintended outcomes of the action? Evidence-Based Decision-Making	The candidate is <b>unable to identify</b> any unintended outcomes (positive or negative) of the implemented action plan.	The candidate <b>identifies one or more</b> unintended outcomes (positive or negative) of the implemented action plan but <b>does not provide plausible</b> <b>explanations for their causes</b> .	The candidate <b>identifies one or more</b> unintended outcomes (positive or negative) of the implemented action plan and <b>provides plausible explanations for</b> <b>their causes</b> .

#### <u>Phase V</u>

## <u>Reflect</u>

The candidate reflects on the process of completing this Professional Impact Project. The candidate conducts self-evaluation to describe current skills and necessary areas for development.

# Questions to Consider

- 1. How has this impact project contributed to your professional knowledge, skills, and/or dispositions?
  - a. Consider personal and/or professional insights and skills you gained from completing the impact project

# 2. What are your professional goals for furthering your professional growth?

a. Identify areas for growth and possible ways to address them

PHASE V: The candidate reflects on the process of completing this Professional Impact Project.

PHASE V	Unacceptable	Acceptable	Target
REFLECTION			
How has the impact project contributed to your professional knowledge, skills and dispositions? Professional Identity Development	The candidate's <b>discussion of the</b> <b>impact of the project on his/her</b> <b>professional knowledge, skills, and</b> <b>dispositions is lacking.</b> S/he does not adequately <b>describe</b> the ways in which the impact project has contributed to his/her professional knowledge, skills and dispositions.	The candidate's <i>discussion</i> of the impact of the project on his/her professional knowledge, skills, and dispositions <i>is</i> <i>satisfactory.</i> S/he <i>describes</i> the ways in which the impact project has contributed to his/her professional knowledge, skills and dispositions.	The candidate's <i>discussion of the</i> <i>impact of the project on his/her</i> <i>professional knowledge, skills, and</i> <i>dispositions is outstanding.</i> S/he <i>shares critical insights</i> about the ways in which the impact project has contributed to his/her professional knowledge, skills and dispositions <i>in an</i> <i>exemplary and highly detailed way</i> .
What are your professional goals for furthering your professional growth? <b>Professional Identity</b> <b>Development</b>	The candidate's <b>self-evaluation is</b> <b>weak</b> ; he/she is <b>unable to identify</b> <b>areas</b> for professional growth; or the steps for addressing them are insufficient.	The candidate's self-evaluation satisfactorily identifies areas for professional growth and outlines general steps for addressing them.	The candidate's self-evaluation explicitly identifies areas for professional growth and outlines well-defined steps for addressing them.

#### FEINSTEIN SCHOOL OF EDUCATION AND HUMAN DEVELOPMENT CANDIDATE ADVANCED COMPETENCIES

This information is provided to applicants to illustrate anticipated candidate competencies at completion of a FSEHD advanced degree.

Knowledge influenced by diversity and professionalism FSEHD advanced candidates demonstrate the requisite knowledge of content and practice to prepare them to be experts of the diverse fields of their disciplines.	<b>Practice informed by diversity and professionalism</b> <i>FSEHD advanced candidates incorporate their domain-specific knowledge</i> <i>into performance with attention to diversity and the standards of their</i> <i>profession.</i>
Knowledge 1.) Domain-Specific Knowledge: candidate demonstrates conceptual mastery of subject matter, literature, theory, and methods in one's chosen field of professional practice.	Practice 1.) Evidence-based Decision Making: candidate defines a problem clearly; collects/analyzes data; uses data to inform decision-making; addresses target population dynamics; and incorporates considerations of other professionals and/or stakeholders while determining a plan of action that: a) contributes to school improvement and/or renewal; and/or b) promotes the well-being of children, family systems, school systems, or communities.
Knowledge 2.) Information Literacy: candidate recognizes when information is needed and has the ability to locate, interpret, and evaluate relevant information.	Practice 2.) Technology Use: candidate selects and uses technology effectively in: a) presentation of information, b) collaborative work environments, c) information collection analysis and management, and d) research based activities
Knowledge 3.) Contextual Perspective: candidate demonstrates a comprehensive understanding of diversity as it relates to field specific content.	Practice 3.) Diversity of Practice: candidate uses knowledge of diversity about self and others to design effective practice.
Knowledge 4.) Professional Awareness: candidate exhibits an understanding of the standards of one's chosen profession, (e.g., confidentiality, ethics)	Practice 4.) Professional Identity Development: candidate examines own emerging, developing or acquired professional knowledge, skills, communication, and dispositions that will result in competent practice, and creates plan to further one's own professional growth.